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Summary

Zimbabwe’s prospects appeared promising in 1980, as it gained independence after a long liberation war. Rising inflation and unemployment bred discontent in the 1990s and led in 1999 to the formation of the opposition Movement for Democratic Change (MDC). The new party surprised many with its initial success, campaigning against a 2000 referendum that would have legalized the president’s continued rule, made government officials immune from prosecution, and allowed the uncompensated seizure of white-owned land for redistribution to black farmers. The referendum failed, and the MDC won nearly half the seats in the 2000 parliamentary election. The government of President Robert Mugabe has since taken numerous, often undemocratic actions to bolster its power.

President Mugabe’s government has been seen in the past decade as autocratic and repressive by its critics, and its human rights record is poor. The government has suppressed freedom of speech and assembly, and many contend that the government has restricted access to food, already scarce, in opposition areas. The MDC, divided over how to respond, split into two factions in 2005, hampering its ability to challenge the ruling party. Reports of political violence rose sharply after Zimbabwe’s March 2008 elections, when, for the first time since independence, Mugabe’s party lost its majority in the National Assembly. Mugabe’s re-election as president in the June runoff was viewed as illegitimate by the United States and the United Nations Secretary-General, among others. In September 2008, after several weeks of negotiations, Mugabe and MDC leader Morgan Tsvangirai signed a power-sharing arrangement aimed at resolving the political standoff. As part of the deal, Tsvangirai became Prime Minister of a new coalition government in February 2009, and cabinet positions have been divided among the parties. Many observers are skeptical that the MDC will be able to implement major reforms through the arrangement, although there has been some initial progress. The cost of rebuilding the economy may be as high as $8 billion.

Zimbabwe’s economic output has decreased dramatically since 1998. Official inflation rose above 200,000,000% in 2008, and unemployment is estimated at more than 90%. The adult HIV prevalence rate of 15.3% has contributed to a sharp drop in life expectancy, and three-quarters of the population is expected to require food aid in early 2009. The country has yet to contain a cholera outbreak with over 90,000 infections and over 4,000 deaths. Deteriorating conditions have led many to emigrate to neighboring countries, creating a substantial burden on the region.

Robert Mugabe has enjoyed considerable popularity in Africa as a former liberation leader, but some African leaders have viewed his policies as damaging to the continent and have urged democratic reforms. Following controversial elections in 2000 and citing abuses of human rights and the rule of law, the United States and some other former allies of the government became vocal critics. The United States has enforced targeted sanctions against top Zimbabwe officials and associates since 2002. This report provides background on events leading up to the country’s most recent elections, in March and June 2008. For further discussion of those elections, the coalition government, and other current events, please see CRS Report RL34509, Zimbabwe: The Power Sharing Agreement and Implications for U.S. Policy, by Lauren Ploch.
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Developments Surrounding the 2008 Elections

With official inflation having risen to a level at which prices doubled in less than 24 hours, Zimbabwe’s economy continued its collapse in early 2009, and the outlook for its people remained grave. A regional mediation effort between the Zimbabwe government and the opposition in the wake of a 2007 assault by police on government critics had resulted in some changes to laws regarded by many as repressive prior to the country’s first harmonized elections, which were held on March 29, 2008. Human rights activists argue that the changes were cosmetic and that the talks failed to create a level playing field prior to the elections. Significant reports of political violence followed the elections, and a presidential runoff election, held in June, failed to alleviate the country’s political crisis. Subsequent negotiations in South Africa resulted in a power sharing agreement in September 2008, but the agreement was not implemented until February 2009, when a new coalition government was formed. Initial economic reforms by the new government appear to have stemmed Zimbabwe’s runaway inflation rate, but significant challenges to the country’s recovery remain.

March 2007 Arrests

Zimbabwe received international media attention for the March 2007 crackdown on opposition and civil society activists, during which one opposition supporter was shot and killed by police (see “Opposition Defiance Against a Ban on Protests and Rallies” section below). Morgan Tsvangirai (CHAHN-gih-R-EYE), leader of the Movement of Democratic Change (MDC) party, and several others reportedly received severe beatings by police following their arrest. They were accused of violating a three-month ban on public protests. Tsvangirai was detained again, with other party members, in late March in a police raid on the opposition headquarters.

South African Mediation

International criticism of the situation in Zimbabwe grew after the arrests, even among former allies on the continent. In one of the most critical statements from African leaders, Zambia’s President Levy Mwanawasa compared the country to “a sinking Titanic whose passengers are jumping out to save their lives.” One of South Africa’s Deputy Foreign Ministers told the South African parliament, “the South African government wishes to express its concern, disappointment, and disapproval of the measures undertaken by the security forces in dealing with the political protests,” blaming the current situation on an “absence of open political

---

1 For further discussion of the 2008 elections, please see CRS Report RL34509, Zimbabwe: The Power Sharing Agreement and Implications for U.S. Policy, by Lauren Ploch.
3 The opposition officials were released into the custody of their lawyers days after the arrest. Two opposition officials who were arrested were later allowed to go to South Africa to receive medical treatment for their injuries. According to media reports, police initially refused to allow their departure, and another opposition official, Nelson Chamisa, was allegedly beaten at the airport when he tried to leave.

Given the strong statements made by some southern African leaders, many observers expected the SADC heads of state to increase pressure on Mugabe to make reforms. Reports suggest that in private the leaders may have been tough on the Zimbabwean president, who was in attendance, but their public response was deemed disappointing by human rights activists and critics of the regime.6 During the summit, the SADC leaders resolved to promote dialogue within the country, at the same time suggesting that western countries should drop their sanctions against the Mugabe government and that Britain should provide funding to assist in land reform efforts. South African President Thabo Mbeki was appointed to mediate between the Zimbabwean government and the opposition. Mbeki, who opposed calls for regime change, pushed instead for democratic elections, saying “you might question whether these elections are genuinely free and fair ... but we have to get the Zimbabweans talking so we do have elections that are free and fair.”7 Talks between the Mugabe Administration and the MDC factions began in Pretoria in June 2007.

According to human rights activists and the U.S. Department of State, political violence against opposition leaders and supporters continued in spite of the negotiations.8 The Mugabe Administration accused the opposition of being responsible for a series of bombings targeting shops, trains, and police stations, although some observers suggest the attacks were an attempt to frame the opposition.9 Harassment of university students by police also reportedly increased. On November 22, 2007, 22 members of the National Constitutional Assembly, a pro-democracy civil society organization, reportedly sustained severe beatings during a peaceful protest set to coincide with a visit by President Mbeki to Harare.10

Although the South Africa negotiations resulted in several agreements between the parties, leading to the amendment of some laws seen to restrict press freedom and political activity, the talks were abandoned after Mugabe announced that elections would be held on March 29, 2008. Despite President Mbeki’s report to SADC leaders that his mediation had achieved “commendable achievements,” Morgan Tsvangirai announced in February 2008 that “nothing has changed ... changes in the law, negotiated by President Mbeki, have not changed the behavior of the dictatorship.”11

---

8 See, for example, Solidarity Peace Trust, Destructive Engagement: Violence, Mediation, and Politics in Zimbabwe, Johannesburg, July 10, 2007.
March 2008 Elections

The two factions of the main opposition party, the MDC, which split in 2005, remained divided prior to the elections. Despite rumors of dissatisfaction with Mugabe’s continued rule from within his own party, the party’s central committee nominated Mugabe to be their presidential candidate in March 2007. The committee also supported a resolution to hold all elections (presidential, parliamentary, and local council) at the same time, and to reduce the terms for all public offices from six to five years. In addition, they voted to back efforts to increase the number of parliamentarians from 150 to 210 and the number of senators from 66 to 84. Critics contend that these proposals were an effort to manipulate the electoral process through gerrymandering, with the new constituencies created in rural areas where the ruling party had stronger support. The ruling Zimbabwe African National Union - Patriotic Front party (ZANU-PF) also proposed to allow the parliament to select a new president if the sitting president resigns, is incapacitated, or dies in office. Analysts suggest that Mugabe may not have intended to serve an entire term if re-elected, instead planning to resign mid-term and use parliament to hand-pick his successor. The proposals were included in a controversial Constitutional Amendment Bill, which, to the surprise of many observers, was passed by the parliament in September 2007 with the support of MDC Members of Parliament (MPs). The final version of the legislation, did, however, include some changes seen as concessions to the opposition, and reports suggest that the MDC supported the legislation because of progress in the South Africa negotiations.

In February 2008, a senior member of ZANU-PF, Simba Makoni, announced his intention to run against President Mugabe in the upcoming elections. He was subsequently expelled from the party and ran as an independent, although he was rumored to have the support of several unnamed senior party officials. Makoni, 57, served as Finance Minister from 2000 to 2002 and was reportedly dismissed after criticizing the administration’s economic policies. Makoni also previously served as the executive secretary of SADC. Opposition leader Tsvangirai dismissed Makoni as “old wine in a new bottle,” but rival MDC leader Arthur Mutambara withdrew as a presidential candidate and expressed his support for Makoni.

In the pre-election period, civic activists reported significant pre-election irregularities. The Zimbabwean government invited election observers from over 40 countries and regional organizations, including SADC, but allegedly barred observers from countries considered to be critical of its policies. Western media organizations and journalists were also reportedly denied permission to cover the elections.

Zimbabwe’s first “harmonized” elections were held on March 29, 2008. The Zimbabwe Electoral Commission (ZEC), widely criticized for its delayed release of the electoral results,

---

18 The 2008 “harmonized” elections were held for all levels of government (local, National Assembly, Senate, and presidential) simultaneously.
announced the National Assembly results four days after the election. For the first time since independence, ZANU-PF lost its majority in the National Assembly. The MDC factions, which reunited on April 28, won 109 seats in the 220-seat National Assembly, over ZANU-PF’s 97. After a month of rising tensions, the results of the presidential race were belatedly announced on May 2. They indicated that opposition leader Morgan Tsvangirai had received more votes than the incumbent, President Robert Mugabe, but had failed to garner the 50% needed to avoid a runoff.19

Although the opposition accused the government of manipulating the results and initially objected to participating in a runoff, Morgan Tsvangirai agreed to stand against President Mugabe in a second round of voting. While electoral law requires the government to hold a runoff election within 21 days of announcing the initial results, the ZEC declared that the runoff would not be held until June 27, three months after the first round. During the following weeks, reports of political violence increased dramatically, in what many critics contend was a government-orchestrated attempt to punish opposition supporters and ensure a Mugabe victory in the runoff. Several of the country’s security service chiefs, including the heads of the army and the police, publicly announced that they would not recognize an electoral victory by anyone other than Mugabe.20 Citing the high number of attacks against MDC supporters and the lack of a level playing field, Tsvangirai withdrew from the race days before the election. Despite public comments from African observer missions and a presidential statement from the United Nations Security Council arguing that conditions for a free and fair election did not exist, the government held the runoff as scheduled. Mugabe was declared the winner with over 85% of the vote and inaugurated on June 29, 2008. His electoral victory in the runoff election was declared illegitimate by several countries, including the United States.

President Mugabe delayed the swearing in of the new parliament and the naming of a new cabinet as President Mbeki and other international leaders pressed for talks between the parties. When the parliament was sworn in on August 25, 2008, Lovemore Moyo, an MP from the MDC Tsvangirai faction, was elected as Speaker. He received 110 votes, beating MDC-M MP Paul Themba-Nyathi, who had received 98 votes, including those of most ZANU-PF members of parliament. Two MDC-T MPs were arrested when they arrived for the swearing in, but were later released.

Power Sharing Agreement

On September 15, after several weeks of negotiations overseen by Mbeki, Mugabe and Tsvangirai signed a power-sharing arrangement aimed at resolving the political standoff. The agreement, known as the Global Political Agreement (GPA), outlined a time frame for the drafting and adoption of a new constitution. As part of the deal, Tsvangirai would become Prime Minister in a new unity government. Cabinet positions would be divided among the parties, with 16 ministerial positions for the MDC factions, three of which come from MDC-M, and 15 positions for ZANU-PF. Early reports suggested that Tsvangirai would gain control of the police force, while Mugabe, who remains head of state under the agreement, would retain control of the armed forces. The text of the agreement, however, left oversight of the police, which falls under the Ministry of Home Affairs, undetermined, and debate over which party would control the Ministry delayed the agreement’s implementation for over four months. As head of state, Mugabe would continue to

---

19 The ZEC declared that Tsvangirai had received 47.9% of the votes, while Mugabe received 43.2% and Makoni 8.3%.
lead the cabinet, but reports suggest that Tsvangirai, who now chairs a Council of Ministers, will be responsible for the day-to-day management of government affairs.21

Amid concern that the parties would abandon compromises made in the power sharing agreement, SADC renewed pressure for the agreement to be implemented in January 2009. Tsvangirai agreed to join a coalition government on January 31, and, after Zimbabwe’s parliament amended the constitution to allow its creation, Tsvangirai and new MDC ministers were sworn in as members of the new government in early February. Despite the MDC’s new role in government, several political activists remain in detention and the eviction of white farmers continues. Many observers remain skeptical that the parties will be able to work together effectively to implement reforms deemed necessary by international donors, and, without an influx of foreign funds, economic and social indicators are expected to continue their downward slide. The coalition government faces considerable challenges in prioritizing humanitarian needs and making the reforms necessary for economic recovery. For further discussion of the coalition government and other current events, please see CRS Report RL34509, *Zimbabwe: The Power Sharing Agreement and Implications for U.S. Policy*, which is updated regularly.

**Background**

After years of economic sanctions by the international community and a decades-long civil war that resulted in more than 30,000 dead, the white minority rule government of Southern Rhodesia concluded a series of agreements with the black majority in 1979 that resulted in the establishment of the government of the Republic of Zimbabwe. Among the greatest challenges facing the new government was the demand by the majority for greater equity in land distribution. At independence, the white minority, who composed less than 5% of the population, owned the vast majority of arable land. Many observers considered the country’s white-owned commercial farms crucial to the country’s economy, although there was a general recognition that land reform was necessary. Britain initially funded a “willing buyer, willing seller” program to redistribute commercial farmland, offering compensation to white farmers amenable to leaving their lands.

Dissatisfaction with the pace of land reform grew and led in the 1990s to spontaneous and often violent farm invasions. At the same time, the country’s labor movement and a segment of its urban middle class were becoming increasingly critical of the government’s economic performance. Facing rising political and economic challenges, the government of Zimbabwe began to implement aggressive land expropriation policies, leading Britain and other donors to begin withdrawing financial support for resettlement.

In 2000, the government held a referendum to approve changes to the constitution that would allow land seizures without compensation, a responsibility that in its view lay with Britain. The referendum was rejected by 55% of voters and was seen as a victory for a new opposition party, the Movement for Democratic Change (MDC). Within days of the vote war veterans and ruling party supporters moved onto an estimated 1,000 white-owned farms, and, months later, the President invoked emergency powers to take land without compensation. During this time there were numerous attacks against white farmers and their employees, as well as against supporters of the MDC; more than 30 people were killed.

---

Since then, the country’s problems have deepened. Substantial political violence and human rights violations have accompanied elections since 2000. The broad scale of such abuses in the wake of the 2008 elections brought international condemnation, but little consensus on how best to stop the violence. Reports of government-orchestrated human rights abuses continued for months afterward. Zimbabwe’s political difficulties have been accompanied by a sharp decline in living standards, with more than 80% of the population living on less than $1 per day. Once touted as a potential “breadbasket of Africa,” much of Zimbabwe’s population is now dependent on food aid. More than 15% of adults are infected by the HIV/AIDS virus, and life expectancy fell from an estimated 56 years in 1990 to 44 in 2008. Foreign Policy magazine has ranked Zimbabwe third in its index of failed states, behind Somalia and Sudan. Observers are concerned that the difficulties confronting Zimbabwe are affecting neighboring countries and deterring investors from the region.

Political Situation

Zimbabwe has been ruled since independence by ZANU-PF, which has come under increasing scrutiny from human rights activists, both at home and abroad. Critics cite high levels of corruption, political violence, and strictly enforced laws restricting basic freedoms. The government contends its detractors have engaged in a “propaganda war” backed by Britain and the United States, using democracy and human rights as a cover to push for regime change. Many domestic and international observers have judged elections since 2000 to be “far from free and fair.” The country’s main opposition party, the Movement for Democratic Change (MDC), split over tactical issues in 2005, and despite attempts at reconciliation, the party remained divided until after the March 2008 elections. ZANU-PF has also suffered internal competition, and some observers suggest that opposition to President Mugabe himself has grown within the party.

Parliamentary Elections 2005

Zimbabwe held legislative elections in 2005. The elections, like those before them in 2000 and 2002, were controversial, with the opposition disputing the results and alleging government

---

24 The Washington-based Foreign Policy magazine uses 12 economic, social, political, and military indicators to rank countries in order of their “vulnerability to violent internal conflict and social dysfunction.” Zimbabwe’s ranking on the index dropped 14 points from 2005 to 2006, and two points since then, suggesting that the country’s situation had deteriorated. For more information, see “The Failed States Index,” Foreign Policy, July August 2008.
efforts to deny a fair race. ZANU-PF retained control of the 150-member parliament, taking 108 seats (of these, 30 are appointed by the President rather than elected). The MDC won 41 seats, and one seat went to an independent.26

The MDC’s representation in parliament had declined since 2000, when it won 57 seats in its first elections. Some observers argue that the MDC did not do as well in the 2005 election because it delayed a decision to participate until December 2004, leaving little time to campaign. Violence against MDC voters in past elections, the alleged use of food distributions by the ruling party to secure votes, and a general climate of intimidation may have also discouraged MDC support. Government supporters suggest voters simply lost faith in MDC promises. Opposition access to the state-run media was severely limited, according to Reporters Without Borders, a Paris-based organization that supports press freedom.27 The MDC was rarely covered on television or in the *Herald*, the government newspaper, and the stories that did appear were typically disparaging.

**Election-Related Violence**

Although most observers agree that the level of political violence surrounding the 2005 elections was significantly less than that which preceded the 2000 and 2002 elections, many argue the election was not “free and fair,” and that there were some incidents of violence. Critics suggest that state harassment of civil society and the political opposition, combined with limitations on press and other political freedoms, left little need for violent repression. Nevertheless, the Zimbabwe Human Rights NGO Forum, a coalition of 17 human rights organizations, reported more than 300 assaults in the pre-election period.28

**Charges of Election Rigging**

Many analysts argue that the Zimbabwean political system is undemocratic because elections are administered by institutions and under laws that many consider biased in favor of ZANU-PF. In response to democratic protocols established by the Southern African Development Community (SADC), the government passed the Zimbabwe Electoral Commission (ZEC) Act and the Electoral Act prior to the 2005 elections. However, the new “independent” ZEC, appointed by the president, was only established two months before the election, leaving many of the preparations to the old Electoral Commission, which many considered discredited by its past performance. According to the U.S.-based democracy advocacy group Freedom House, “despite some improvements, the Electoral Act granted the ZEC powers to employ security forces, retained biased residency requirements for voters, denied most expatriates the right to vote, and created an Electoral Court staffed by a deeply compromised judiciary.”29

The Mugabe government employed other legal tactics seen by critics as designed to intimidate the opposition and produce a political landscape favorable to ZANU-PF. The 2004 gerrymandering of constituencies, which the government attributed to population shifts arising from its land reform

---

26 Jonathan Moyo, former Information Minister, left ZANU-PF and was elected as an independent candidate.


program, resulted in the redistricting of three urban seats held by the MDC into three new rural constituencies, which ZANU-PF candidates won in 2005. The International Council of Barristers and Advocates described extensive efforts by ZANU-PF to gain control over the legal system in a 2004 report, suggesting the ruling party had interfered in judicial appointments and forced the removal of impartial judges “through a combination of psychological and physical intimidation and threats of violence.”

The MDC challenged the results of numerous 2005 races in court. Their allegations focused on several largely rural districts in which the ZEC announced voter turnout totals before the vote results were reported. Once the results came in, the ZANU-PF candidate won in each case, but the vote for the two candidates added together exceeded the initial ZEC-reported turnout total. This created a suspicion that additional votes had been given to the ZANU-PF candidates during the tabulation phase. According to the ZEC, they had initially released early totals coming in to provide an indication of voter turnout, and the discrepancies between those initial figures and the final tallies were due to poor communications from rural areas. Other allegations focused on large numbers of voters in contested areas reportedly turned away due to registration problems.

**Election Observers**

Many domestic election observers, such as the Zimbabwe Lawyers for Human Rights (ZLHR) and the Zimbabwe Election Support Network (ZESN), were critical of the 2005 elections. During the pre-election period, they cited a lack of transparency surrounding voter registration as a “significant and serious threat to the overall credibility of the electoral process.” Their reports cited no incidents of overt political violence, but noted that the pre-election period was marked by intimidation, “politicization of food distribution,” and a lack of media access by the opposition. The observers contended that they were restricted access to the vote counting process at many polling stations, and that in some cases the total voter tally did not coincide with the total number of votes cast for the candidates. Both groups reported the use of POSA, MOA, and AIPPA against opposition supporters. The ZLHR report concluded, “Zimbabwean authorities have failed, on most accounts, to ensure a free and fair electoral process.”

The Mugabe government placed limits on foreign observers for the election. No U.S. observers were invited, and Russia was the only European country asked to send a team. Leading the Southern African Development Community (SADC) delegation, South African Deputy President Mlambo-Ngcuka congratulated Zimbabwe on “the holding of a peaceful, credible, well managed and transparent election. The people of Zimbabwe have expressed their will in an impressively

---


31 Although none of the 2005 results were overturned, a 2006 Zimbabwean supreme court decision gave the opposition further legal recourse. Against the arguments of the chief justice, the attorney-general, and the justice minister, the court ruled that the judicial appointment of commissioners to the electoral court was unconstitutional and violated the principle of separation of powers. “Zimbabwe Court Rules 2005 Electoral Legislation ‘Inconsistent’ with Constitution,” *The Financial Gazette*, July 27, 2006.

32 The ZEC eventually halted the release of turnout totals, so it is unknown if there were discrepancies in other districts.


34 The report of the ZLHR, a local human rights organization that deployed 44 observers for the election, is available at http://www.zlhr.org.zw.
instructive manner that will go a long way in contributing to the consolidation of democracy and political stability not only in Zimbabwe, but also in the region as a whole.”35 The head of South Africa’s parliamentary observer mission was quoted saying that the delegation had “unanimously agreed that the elections were credible, legitimate, free and fair.”36 Both statements received substantial criticism in the international press. The SADC Parliamentary Forum, which consists of legislators from the region and had issued a report critical of the 2002 election, was not invited to observe the vote.

Western governments condemned the elections. Based on reports from domestic observers and U.S. Embassy staff who were allowed to observe the election, U.S. Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice issued the following statement:

Although the campaign and election day itself was generally peaceful, the election process was not free and fair. The electoral playing field was heavily tilted in the government’s favor. The independent press was muzzled; freedom of assembly was constrained; food was used as a weapon to sway hungry voters; and millions of Zimbabweans who have been forced by the nation’s economic collapse to emigrate were disenfranchised.37

U.N. Secretary-General Kofi Annan commended the election’s lack of violence but noted concern that “the electoral process has not countered the sense of disadvantage felt by opposition political parties who consider the conditions were unfair.”38

Restrictions on Political Freedoms

Legislative actions in the ZANU-PF-dominated parliament raised concerns about human rights in Zimbabwe. Laws that critics contend have been used to quiet dissent and influence political developments include the following:

- **The Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act (AIPPA).** This 2002 Act requires that all media services be licensed, and that all journalists, including foreign correspondents, be officially accredited. The government, citing AIPPA, closed *The Daily News*, the only remaining independent daily, in 2003. In 2005, three Zimbabwean correspondents for the Associated Press, Bloomberg News, and the *Times* of London, fled Zimbabwe after police raided their office. The Media Institute of Southern Africa (MISA) has stated that AIPPA is “one of the most effective legal instruments of state control over the media and civil society communication anywhere in the world.”39 The government has countered that AIPPA encourages responsible journalism.

- **The Public Order and Security Act (POSA), the Criminal Law (Codification and Reform) Act (“Criminal Law Code”), and the Miscellaneous Offences Act (MOA).** POSA, also enacted in 2002, prohibits statements deemed to be

37 The statement of Secretary Rice, made on April 1, 2005, is available online at http://www.state.gov/secretary/rm/2005/44141.htm.
38 Secretary-General Annan’s statement is available at http://www.un.org/News/ossg/.
“abusive, indecent, obscene, or false” about the president or considered to “undermin(e) public confidence” in the security forces, and prohibits false statements prejudicial to the state. The measure has been used in the arrest of thousands of political opponents and in police action to break up public meetings and demonstrations. Zimbabweans overheard criticizing the President in a public place have also been jailed. The MOA criminalized “conduct likely to cause a breach of the peace,” and was often used with POSA against activists. Police and “persons assisting the police” may use “all necessary force” to stop unlawful gatherings. In 2006 many offences under POSA and MOA were transferred to the new Criminal Law Code.

- **The Private Voluntary Organizations (PVO) Act.** Critics suggest that the government has used the PVO Act, enacted in 2002, to limit the activities of domestic NGOs. They are required to register with the government, and a “probe team” of intelligence officers has wide powers to investigate groups and demand documents related to activities and funding. The African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights has recommended that it be repealed.

The opposition had limited success in preventing ZANU-PF from passing other legislation that it contended would restrict freedoms. The Interception of Communications Bill, which would allow the government to monitor all Internet, email, and telephone communications for threats to national security, was stalled by the Parliamentary Legal Committee (chaired by an MDC MP), but was later revised and approved in June 2007. Critics suggest the revisions were cosmetic.

In the 2005 elections ZANU-PF won over two-thirds of the seats in the House of Assembly, giving the party the power to amend the constitution. The parliament subsequently passed several controversial constitutional amendments which some analysts contend breach international human rights standards. The 2005 Constitution of Zimbabwe Amendment Act (No.17) allowed the government to limit the right to freedom of movement when it is in “the public interest” or in “the economic interests of the State” and restricts the right to leave Zimbabwe. Journalists, MDC officials, and union leaders have had their passports revoked under the act; the government charged that they planned to lobby abroad for sanctions or military intervention against the country. The act also prevents land owners from challenging the acquisition of agricultural land by the state. It paved the way for the passage of Gazetted Land (Consequential Provisions) Act in late 2006, making it illegal for former farm owners to occupy nationalized land and allowing the government to evict farmers and resettle the land without compensation. The constitutional amendment also revived the upper house of the parliament, the Senate.

The South Africa-led SADC negotiations led in January 2008 to amendments to both AIPPA and POSA. Critics suggest the amendments did not adequately address human rights concerns and were not implemented. They cite, for example, a January 2008 MDC “freedom march” that was blocked by police despite prior notification of the event, in accordance with the changes to POSA. Supporters who defied a police ban were reportedly tear-gassed and beaten with batons. Numerous MDC rallies were likewise blocked in the period preceding the 2008 runoff, despite

---

40 For the text of the POSA, see http://www.kubatana.net/docs/legisl/posa060203.doc.
court orders allowing the events. The Media Institute of Southern Africa dismissed the AIPPA amendments as “dwelling … on inconsequential issues which will not advance basic freedoms.”

2005 Senate Elections

Elections to the new 66-seat Senate were held in September 2005, and were marked by record low voter turnout. Of 26 MDC candidates who ran, seven were elected; ZANU-PF gained the overwhelming majority of seats. Observers suggest one of the reasons for the low turnout may have been a lack of solidarity on the part of the opposition, which split prior to the election over whether to boycott the vote.

Internal ZANU-PF Struggles

In view of President Mugabe’s advanced age, presidential succession has been a matter of intense interest to observers for several years. Prior to the 2008 elections, some analysts expressed concern that if the elections did not lead to a democratic transfer of power, Zimbabwe could experience a violent succession struggle or a possible military coup. Under the constitution, the president may designate one of the country’s two vice presidents to serve as acting president until the next election, should he leave office, but Mugabe has never done so. One of the vice presidential posts was vacant prior to the 2004 ZANU-PF party conference, setting off a power struggle that transformed the political scene by revealing internal party divisions. Despite having turned 85 in 2009, President Mugabe is reportedly in good health and in no rush to relinquish his post. Many observers suggest he has used the country’s anti-corruption authority to check the political ambitions of his party members. Mugabe endorsed a 2007 proposal to extend the next presidential elections to 2010, but it was defeated by his party’s central committee.

Prior to the December 2004 party conference, Emmerson Mnangagwa, then speaker of the parliament and a political veteran long touted as Mugabe’s heir, campaigned actively for the position of ZANU-PF’s second vice president. His selection to that position would likely have assured his appointment as national vice president, but Mnangagwa was caught off guard when Mugabe decided that the country should have a woman in the post. Mugabe’s choice for the position, Joice Mujuru, was inevitably elected, and she was sworn into office as Zimbabwe’s second vice president. Mujuru, a veteran of the liberation war and a women’s movement leader, had been serving as Minister of Water Resources and Infrastructure.

The outcome of any succession struggle within ZANU-PF may be affected by the country’s ethnic and clan divisions. Mugabe and many key party officials are from the Zezuru clan of the Shona people, who are dominant in a wide area encircling the capital, Harare. Retired General Solomon “Rex” Mujuru, a Zezuru and husband of Joice Mujuru, has been one of Mugabe’s closest advisors and was once regarded as a king-maker. Mnangagwa is seen as a representative of the large Karanga clan, which has reportedly felt that its turn to control the reins of power has come. Mnangagwa’s viability as a presidential contender has been hampered by accusations that he led the purge of alleged regime opponents in provinces of Matabeleland in the 1980s, which is believed to have resulted in the deaths of 20,000 Ndebele civilians. The events of the 1980s help
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to explain why Bulawayo has long been regarded as a center of opposition to the government, although Mugabe has sought to gain support by elevating Ndebele to party and government posts.

Mnangagwa’s power was reduced following the events of 2004, as was that of a number of his backers, including the former minister of information, Jonathan Moyo. Moyo was fired in early 2005 for his sharp-tongued defenses of the regime and for fights with others in leadership. He is reported to have angered Mugabe by holding an unsanctioned meeting of Mnangagwa supporters before the 2004 party convention, allegedly to strategize ways to derail the Mujuru candidacy. Moyo left the party and ran as an independent in 2005. He retained his seat in the 2008 elections.

According to reports, neither the Mnangagwa nor Mujuru camps initially supported Mugabe’s proposed term extension. Once a strong Mugabe ally, Solomon Mujuru has been vocal in his disapproval and is rumored to have been pivotal in blocking the proposal at the party’s national conference. Some have suggested that Mujuru covertly backed another ZANU-PF official, Simba Makoni, over his wife as a potential successor to Mugabe. Makoni, a technocrat, was considered by some analysts to be a compromise candidate, untainted by the corruption scandals that have plagued others. Mugabe’s own choice for his successor is unknown. Mnangagwa appears to have reconciled with Mugabe, leading the party’s 2008 election efforts and taking a central role in guiding the country’s security forces. He leads the Ministry of Defense in the new government.

The Movement for Democratic Change (MDC)

Origins of the MDC

The MDC party rose from the Zimbabwe labor movement. As poverty deepened in Zimbabwe in the late 1990s, and allegations of corruption against regime leaders became more frequent, the Zimbabwe Congress of Trade Unions (ZCTU) organized a number of strikes and protests. In September 1999, the MDC was formed on this trade union base with support from many in Zimbabwe’s churches and in urban areas. In February 2000, MDC members elected the ZCTU secretary general, Morgan Tsvangirai, born in 1952, as MDC president, and union president Gibson Sibanda as MDC vice president.

The MDC proved formidable in the 2000 referendum and in the 2000 parliamentary election; some contend their success may have prompted a range of repressive actions against the party and its supporters. Among the retaliatory measures alleged, several leaders of the MDC, including Tsvangirai himself, were arrested and charged with treason two weeks before the MDC leader ran against Mugabe in the 2002 presidential elections.

Treason Charges

In October 2004, Tsvangirai was acquitted of a treason charge based on a video recorded in Canada, which the government claimed showed him calling for the “elimination” of Mugabe. The verdict surprised many observers in view of the regime’s perceived influence over the courts. The judge stated that the evidence had been unconvincing, with the witnesses produced by the state “suspect” and the video unreliable. The government can appeal the verdict, and Tsvangirai may be tried again because the law does not prohibit double jeopardy. In August 2005, the government dropped a second treason charge that had been based on claims that he urged violence to bring down the government in 2004.
Division in the Opposition

In late 2004, the MDC became increasingly divided in its strategy to defeat the ZANU-PF government. MDC officials initially decided that the party would not participate in the 2005 parliamentary race, unless the government took steps to assure a free and fair election. Several members questioned this stance on grounds that non-participation would deprive the MDC of any influence in the next parliament. Some reportedly felt that a refusal to participate would hand control of parliament to Mugabe on a “silver platter.” Tsvangirai supported a boycott, arguing that the elections should be postponed until substantial electoral reforms could be implemented. The party did eventually participate “under protest,” but did not do as well as in previous polls.

In the months prior to the 2005 Senate elections, the MDC was once again divided on whether to participate. Supported by some civil society groups who suggested the elections were “meaningless” and “a waste of time and resources,” Tsvangirai argued that participating in the Senate would legitimize previous “rigged” elections, and vowed instead to lead the opposition through mass action. He was opposed by a group of MDC politicians led by the party’s secretary-general, Welshman Ncube, who had also been accused by the government of treason in 2003 (the charges were subsequently dropped), and Gibson Sibanda. In October, the party’s national council voted 33-31 to participate in the election, but Tsvangirai overruled the vote and, reportedly in violation of the party’s constitution, expelled 26 senior officials from the party. He announced the boycott, touring the country to encourage voters to stay home. The Ncube faction refused to accept their expulsion and fielded candidates in the Senate race but only gained only seven seats.

Both factions held party conferences in early 2006; Tsvangirai was confirmed as the leader of one faction, while Ncube ceded control of the “pro-senate” faction to Arthur Mutambara, a noted student leader in the 1980s. The two factions attacked each other in the press, and there were allegations that the Tsvangirai faction was behind a violent July 2006 assault on Member of Parliament (MP) Trudy Stevenson and several other Mutambara supporters. Stevenson identified the youths who attacked her as known followers of the former labor leader, but Tsvangirai denied the charges and denounced the beatings. Although his faction was reported to have the larger support base and the backing of the ZCTU, some observers suggested neither faction would be effective unless they could resolve their differences and reunite.

Opposition Defiance Against a Ban on Protests and Rallies

On February 22, 2007, the Zimbabwean government announced a three-month ban on political rallies and public demonstrations in Harare “due to the volatile situation in the country.”45 The MDC filed an appeal with the High Court to lift the ban, which coincided with an increase in public activity by the opposition and civic groups. On February 18, despite a High Court decision allowing Morgan Tsvangirai to launch his presidential campaign at a rally in Harare, police reportedly used batons and water cannons to break up the event. A rally planned by the Mutambara faction in Bulawayo was similarly dispersed, and numerous opposition supporters were arrested. The ban was announced three days later, and police subsequently arrested several hundred civic activists, according to press reports.

On March 11, 2007, police broke up a Save Zimbabwe Campaign prayer meeting attended by both Tsvangirai and Mutambara, arresting an estimated 50 members of the opposition and civil society, including both MDC leaders. Police shot and killed one opposition supporter after MDC youth reportedly began throwing stones at police. The following day, police arrested an estimated 240 opposition supporters during a demonstration protesting the March 11 crackdown. Media and human rights reports suggest that Tsvangirai was severely beaten while in custody, and he appeared in court on March 13 showing signs of head trauma. Other opposition and civic leaders also reportedly sustained injuries after their arrest. The protestors were released into the custody of their lawyers on March 14 after prosecutors reportedly failed to appear at their court hearing. The Zimbabwean government contended that the MDC incited violence and was responsible for attacks on several civilian targets and a Harare police station.

The March 11 incident spurred international media attention and drew considerable criticism from many world leaders. U.S. Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice issued a strong statement, saying, “The world community again has been shown that the regime of Robert Mugabe is ruthless and repressive and creates only suffering for the people of Zimbabwe.” U.N. Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon also condemned the “reported beating of those leaders in police custody” and criticized the ban, noting that “such actions violate the basic democratic right of citizens to engage in peaceful assembly.” Several of Zimbabwe’s neighbors, including South Africa and Zambia, issued statements of concern regarding the incident, and Ghanaian President John Kufuor, then chairman of the AU, called the event “very embarrassing.”

Political Violence

Human rights groups have documented numerous accounts of political violence in recent years. According to Freedom House, in 2006 “Zimbabwe’s descent into the ranks of the world’s most repressive states continued unabated.” In 2007, the State Department reported that Zimbabwe’s government has “engaged in the pervasive and systematic abuse of human rights, which increased significantly during the year” and contended that “state-sanctioned use of excessive force increased, and security forces tortured members of the opposition, student leaders, and civil society activists.” Amnesty International has been similarly critical.

President Mugabe has publicly condoned police and military brutality against Zimbabwean citizens. In 2006, during Heroes’ Day, a holiday honoring war veterans, Mugabe warned that his security forces “will pull the trigger” against protesters. A month later, in an incident caught on
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49 Statement issued by the Spokesman of the U.N. Secretary General on March 12, 2007.
video, Zimbabwean police conducted a particularly violent crackdown against leaders of the Zimbabwe Congress of Trade Unions (ZCTU), who had planned a civic protest to highlight the impact of inflation on the country’s citizenry. Mugabe sanctioned the police action, saying, “Some people are now crying foul that they were assaulted, yes you get a beating … when the police say move, move, if you don’t move, you invite the police to use force.”55 Subsequent mass ZCTU protests were not held.

Mugabe received international attention for his statement; the U.N. Country Team (UNCT) in Zimbabwe announced “a profound sense of dismay” over comments that “might be interpreted as condoning the use of force and torture to deal with peaceful demonstrations by its citizens.”56 The U.N. Special Rapporteur on Torture57 has repeatedly requested an invitation from Zimbabwe to investigate, and the Harare magistrate who heard the case against the ZCTU leaders ordered an independent investigation into the allegations of police brutality.

The Zimbabwe Human Rights NGO Forum reports that there were 723 incidents of torture in 2008, 1913 incidents of assault, and 137 incidents of politically motivated abduction/kidnapping. These figures suggest a marked increase in political violence from 2007, during which there were 586 incidents of torture, 855 incidents of assault, and 19 incidents of abduction.58 The group also reported 107 politically motivated murders in 2008. Human rights activists suggest that abductions and beatings of opposition supporters became “more systematic and widespread” after the events of March 2007.59 Despite provisions in the new Electoral Laws Amendment Act banning such acts and assurances by security officials that the government would take a “zero tolerance” approach to violence, reports of attacks on opposition supporters rose dramatically after the March 2008 elections.60 Reports suggest that violent political repression has decreased in early 2009, with no reported incidents of torture or abduction, although acts of political discrimination and unlawful detention continue.61

Reports suggest that the police have played a significant role in political violence. The Geneva-based International Commission of Jurists, which investigated the May 2007 detention and beating of lawyers, expressed shock at the role police played in the attacks and at the “cavalier response of Zimbabwean authorities.”62

57 The post of Rapporteur was created by the U.N. Commission on Human Rights in 1985 to investigate questions relating to torture. For information on the Rapporteur’s mandate, see http://www2.ohchr.org/english/issues/torture/rapporteur/index.htm.
62 For more information, see the Mission’s report at http://www.icj.org.
Humanitarian Situation

Operation Murambatsvina

In May 2005, the Government of Zimbabwe initiated Operation Murambatsvina (variously translated as “Restore Order” or “Clean Out the Filth”), a massive demolition program aimed at destroying allegedly illegal urban structures, such as informal housing and markets. By early July 2005, an estimated 700,000 urban Zimbabweans had been rendered homeless or unemployed by the operation, and an estimated 2.1 million (in total, almost 20% of the population) were indirectly affected by the demolitions.63 These are considered “low-end estimates,” and some reports suggest the numbers of those affected was much higher.64 According to some sources, 70% of the country’s urban population may have lost shelter, while approximately 76% lost their source of income.65 Police and military who carried out the event reportedly arrested forty thousand for allegedly illegal activities and told those whose homes were destroyed to “return to their rural origins,” although many had no rural home to which they could return.66

Operation Murambatsvina had a severe impact on the nation’s economy and on the livelihood of its citizens. For many, this was not the first time they had been forcibly removed from their homes. As a result of the 2000 land reform program, an estimated 400,000 black laborers on commercial farms lost their livelihoods and/or homes, and many fled to urban areas to find work. Political violence surrounding the 2002 elections also forced many from their homes, reportedly displacing more than 100,000.67 In 2004, under a new phase of land resettlement, an estimated 500,000 who settled on farms during the 2000 invasions were evicted.68 Many of these displaced inhabited the urban “slums” prior to the demolitions, making their living from trading on the black market. Given the collapse of the formal economy, 40% of the labor force was estimated to be informally employed prior to Murambatsvina, while 44% worked in the communal sector (including the agriculture industry), and 16% worked in the formal sector.69 Of those living in towns and cities, an estimated 70% were involved in informal trading prior to the demolitions.

Political Motivations?

The government described Murambatsvina as a program designed to restore the capital city to its former image as “the Sunshine City,” ridding the country’s urban areas of illegal structures that foster criminal activity and stemming the black market trade in foreign currency.70 Launched shortly after the disputed 2005 parliamentary elections, many contend the demolitions were a

64 A survey by ActionAid International, a Netherlands-based international development agency, found that 840,000 were directly affected and 1.2 million indirectly affected, while a survey by the independent research firm Afrobarometer reported that an estimated 2.7 million were directly affected.
66 Tibaijuka, 13.
69 Tibaijuka, 34.
political move aimed either at preventing mass protests over the growing economic crisis or at punishing the reputed urban support base of the MDC. The Harare Commission that initiated the campaign was established in order to contravene the authority of the elected City Council, of which the MDC held the majority. The mayor of Harare, an MDC politician who was elected by 80% of the vote, was fired in April 2004, along with 19 MDC-allied city councillors, after having been arrested in 2003 under POSA for holding a public meeting without prior state approval.

The legality of the Harare Commission, which was appointed by the Minister of Local Government, was challenged in a high court ruling that found the Commission did not have the authority to fire the mayor. A new election was supposed to be held within 90 days, according to law, but when no election occurred, the Commission was reappointed. The remaining MDC councillors resigned in protest. With the exception of Harare, the local authorities of the other areas (many of which were MDC-controlled) affected by Murambatsvina reported that they were not informed of the demolitions prior to the event. The implications of this breakdown in governance are reflected in findings of the United Nations, which noted that Murambatsvina “was implemented in a highly polarized political climate characterized by mistrust, fear and a lack of dialogue between Government and local authorities, and between the former and civil society.”

The International Response

International reaction to Murambatsvina was highly critical. U.N. Secretary-General Kofi Annan named Tanzanian-born Anna Tibaijuka, Executive Director of UN-HABITAT, as the U.N. Special Envoy on Human Settlements Issues in Zimbabwe to investigate the humanitarian impact of the demolitions. Following a fact-finding mission to the country, she issued a comprehensive report, which concluded:

Operation Restore Order, while purporting to target illegal dwellings and structures and to clamp down on alleged illicit activities, was carried out in an indiscriminate and unjustified manner, with indifference to human suffering and, in repeated cases, with disregard to several provisions of national and international legal frameworks.

The report also described police preventing civil society and humanitarian organizations from assisting those affected by the demolitions, and suggested that the groups were operating in a “climate of fear” and practicing “self-censorship” to avoid being closed down or evicted. The Chairman of the African Union sent his own envoy, but he was prevented from conducting an assessment (see “International Perspectives,” below). The presentation of the U.N. envoy’s report to the U.N. Security Council stirred controversy as China, Algeria, Benin, and Russia objected to debate on the report. The majority of Security Council members voted to allow its discussion, albeit in a closed session. Secretary-General Annan also issued a strong statement condemning Murambatsvina, calling on the government of Zimbabwe to stop the evictions and allow unimpeded access for humanitarian assistance:

“Operation Murambatsvina” has done a catastrophic injustice to as many as 700,000 of Zimbabwe’s poorest citizens, through indiscriminate actions, carried out with disquieting indifference to human suffering. I call on the Government to stop these forced evictions and

71 Ibid., 7.
72 Ibid., 7.
73 Ibid., 54.
demolitions immediately, and to ensure that those who orchestrated this ill-advised policy
are held fully accountable for their actions ... the Government must recognize the virtual state
of emergency that now exists, allow unhindered access for humanitarian operations, and
create conditions for sustainable relief and reconstruction.74

**Continued Evictions and Operation Garikai**

Many observers suggest the Zimbabwean government did little to respond to the U.N. envoy’s
recommendations.75 Reports indicate that forced evictions continued, despite government
declarations to the contrary.76 As was the case during the initial evictions, several thousand of
those made homeless were taken, in some cases reportedly against their will, to police-run “transit
camps” in late 2006. Conditions in these camps were described as dire, often lacking shelter,
water, or basic latrine facilities.77 In keeping with the findings of the U.N. report, Amnesty
International alleged that the government repeatedly prohibited aid organizations, including the
United Nations, from providing the displaced with temporary shelters, such as tents. Secretary-
General Annan expressed his concern in October 2005 over the government’s rejection of U.N.
assistance to “tens of thousands,” noting “there is no clear evidence that subsequent Government
efforts have significantly benefitted these groups.”78 The United Nations was subsequently
permitted to erect approximately 2,300 shelters, a fraction of their target of 40,000.79

In response to international criticism of Murambatsvina, the government announced a new
housing scheme, Operation Garikai, in June 2005. Under Garikai, also known as “Hlalani
Kuhle” (Live Well), new housing for those rendered homeless was to be built with public funds.
The ambitious reconstruction program would allegedly create tens of thousands of new homes,
but given the shortage of building materials and the government’s budgetary problems, it is
highly unlikely the original target of 5,275 homes has been met. Reports suggest that few houses
were actually completed, and, instead of going to victims of Murambatsvina, the newly built
houses were more likely to be occupied by soldiers, police, and members of the ruling party.80
The government denied these allegations.

**Violations of Domestic and International Law**

Human rights organizations have raised questions about how Zimbabwe and the international
community should respond to what some have termed “crimes against humanity,”81 as defined by
Article 7 of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (ICC),82 and whether there is a
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74 The Secretary-General’s statement, made on July 22, 2005, is available online at http://www.un.org/apps/sg/
sgstats.asp?nid=1589.

75 See, for example, Zimbabwe Human Rights NGO Forum, “Political Repression Disguised as Civic Mindedness:


78 The October 31, 2005 statement is available at http://www.un.org/News/ossg/.


80 See the AI report; Solidarity Peace Trust, Meltdown: Murambatsvina One Year On, August 30, 2006.


82 The United States is not party to the Rome Statute. For more information, see CRS Report RL31495, U.S. Policy
Regarding the International Criminal Court, by Jennifer K. Elsea.
“responsibility to protect”83 those affected by Murambatsvina, or by subsequent government actions. Among the U.N. report recommendations, the envoy suggested:

Although a case for crime against humanity under Article 7 of the Rome Statute might be difficult to sustain, the Government of Zimbabwe clearly caused large sections of its population serious suffering that must now be redressed with the assistance of the United Nations and the international community. The international community should encourage the Government to prosecute all those who orchestrated this catastrophe and those who may have caused criminal negligence leading to alleged deaths, if so confirmed by an independent internal inquiry/inquest. The international community should then continue to be engaged with human rights concerns in Zimbabwe in consensus building political forums such as the UN Commission on Human Rights, or its successor, the African Union Peer Review Mechanism, and in the Southern African Development Community.

The report included a legal analysis of Murambatsvina through international and regional, and national legal frameworks. Several domestic and international organizations, including the International Bar Association (IBA), have called for the Zimbabwean government to be brought before the ICC, not only for violations related to the demolitions, but also for the government’s alleged support of political violence against its critics. Responding to President Mugabe’s comments supporting the beating of trade union leaders in 2006, the Executive Director of the IBA made the following statement:

Mugabe’s statements add to the weight of evidence that torture and other serious violations of international law are sanctioned at the highest level in Zimbabwe. This underscores the urgent need for international and regional action to hold the Zimbabwean Government to account ... the torture of the trade union activists is not an isolated incident, but part of a dangerous and illegal system of repression which constitutes crimes against humanity in international law. Decisive action is required by both the United Nations and the African Union to end impunity and violence in Zimbabwe.84

Because Zimbabwe is not a signatory of the Rome Statute, a U.N. Security Council resolution would be needed for any referral to the ICC. Given the objections of some Security Council members to the envoy’s report itself, which as stated above suggested an ICC case would be “difficult to sustain,” it is unlikely such a referral would be made. The U.N. Envoy found that “The Government of Zimbabwe is collectively responsible for what has happened,” but cautioned that “it appears there was no collective decision-making with respect to both the conception and implementation. Evidence suggests it was based on improper advice by a few architects of the operation.”85 According to one media source, though, Zimbabwe’s State Security Minister has claimed, “All the decisions to do with the operation emanated from the politburo [ZANU-PF’s inner cabinet] and were sent through me to the government.”86

83 For more information on the “Responsibility to Protect,” see the Report on the International Commission on Intervention and State Sovereignty, which aims to “reconcil[e] the international community’s responsibility to act in the face of massive violations of humanitarian norms while respecting the sovereign rights of states.” The report is available at http://www.iciss.ca/report-en.asp.
84 The IBA is comprised of Bar Associations and Law Societies around the world. The comments of its Executive Director can be found at http://www.ibanet.org/iba/article.cfm?article=95.
85 Tibaijuka, 76.
The government of Zimbabwe has yet to prosecute those who might be responsible for crimes related to Operation Murambatsvina or the subsequent evictions. The victims, in most cases, lack the financial resources to seek redress in the courts, although Zimbabwean human rights lawyers have represented groups of victims on several occasions. In one such case, in November 2005, residents of a Harare suburb were given a temporary stay of eviction by the High Court, but police ignored the court order and forcibly moved the group to a transit camp. The inability of the country’s judicial system to protect its citizens or their property, or to provide due process to those seeking remedy or compensation, suggests a fundamental crisis in Zimbabwe’s rule of law.

**Zimbabwe’s Food Crisis**

Several Southern African countries have suffered from chronic food insecurity in recent years, stemming from a combination of weather-related and man-made factors, including prolonged drought, floods, poor economic performance, and the impact of HIV/AIDS. Zimbabwe has been particularly hard hit. Grain silos across the country that once held strategic grain reserves three times the population’s annual food needs now stand empty. Experts attribute this food insecurity to unexpectedly severe crop failure, but some suggest Murambatsvina and other government policies significantly limited the population’s ability to feed itself, particularly in urban areas. Aid organizations predict that over nine million Zimbabweans, more than three-quarters of the country’s population, will need food assistance in early 2009.

Although drought is partly to blame for the country’s food shortages, analysts believe that disruptions to the farming sector resulting from Mugabe’s land seizure program are the main reason for reduced food production. Nearly all of the country’s 4,500 commercial farms have now been taken over; the government’s land redistribution program is reportedly plagued by inefficiencies, with large portions of redistributed land not being actively farmed. Tractors and other inputs to production are reportedly in short supply. Thousands of experienced farm workers were reportedly forced to flee seized commercial farms, and many of those who now hold farmland have no agricultural expertise. The government’s introduction of price controls in 2007 may have further restricted production—the country’s seed and fertilizer producers reported that the controls created “unrealistic prices,” which in turn caused supply shortages.

**Operation Taguta**

In late 2005, the Zimbabwean government established Operation Taguta (or “Eat Well”), a move seen by many as an acknowledgment that the government’s farm resettlement policies had failed to meet the country’s agricultural production needs. With food distribution already under the control of the Grain Marketing Board, reportedly led by military officers, the government

---

87 For more information on the region’s food crisis, see CRS Report RS21301, *The Food Crisis in Southern Africa: Background and Issues*, by Charles E. Hanrahan.


89 ActionAid International, *The Impact of Operation Murambatsvina/Restore Order in Zimbabwe*, August 2005. This study suggests up to 54% of the country may have become food insecure as a result of Murambatsvina. A more recent USAID study also concluded that Murambatsvina and “more recent evictions” increased vulnerability, according to its “Zimbabwe—Drought and Complex Emergency Situation Report #2,” Fiscal Year 2007.


established a command agriculture system, in which the military would be responsible for not only the distribution, but also the production of food. Since the program’s inception, there have been numerous reports of the illegal seizure of farm equipment, the destruction of the fruit, vegetable, and other cash crops small-scale farmers grow to sell at market to support their families, and even army brutality against farmers. Some critics of the government suggest Operation Taguta was used by the government as an excuse to deploy military forces throughout the country to control the population.\footnote{Solidarity Peace Trust, \textit{Operation Taguta/Sisuthi}, April 2006.} A Zimbabwe journalist was jailed in March 2009 for reporting on allegations of corruption within the Grain Marketing Board.

Food as a Political Weapon?

The ZANU-PF government’s stance on food aid led many observers to suspect that food has been used as a political weapon, a charge the government denied.\footnote{AI, \textit{Zimbabwe: Power and Hunger}.} Despite assessments by multiple international donor agencies suggesting the need for food assistance, President Mugabe confounded observers in recent years by repeatedly declaring the country was running a maize surplus and would not need food aid.\footnote{“Mugabe Word for Word,” \textit{Sky News}, May 24, 2004.} In 2004, the government stopped a U.N. food needs assessment and later halted general food aid distribution by donors (targeted food aid to vulnerable groups continued), despite independent estimates that suggested 4.8 million would require assistance.\footnote{AI, \textit{Zimbabwe: Power and Hunger}, and USAID, 2004 Annual Report for the Office of Foreign Disaster Assistance (OFDA).} In March 2005, the government finally acknowledged serious food shortages, but delayed in signing agreement to allow the World Food Program (WFP) and its implementing partners to provide assistance until December of that year.\footnote{“ZANU-PF Admits Food Crisis,” \textit{Institute for War and Peace Reporting} (London), March 7, 2005; “10 More Die of Hunger in Bulawayo,” \textit{Zimbabwe Standard}, March 7, 2005; “14 More Die of Hunger in Bulawayo,” \textit{Zimbabwe Standard}, February 15, 2005.} Reports suggested that the government maintained tight control of food distributions, until its ban on the distribution of aid by NGOs prior to the 2008 runoff.\footnote{“Govt Delays Stall WFP Food Distribution,” \textit{Financial Gazette}, December 13, 2006.} The government has accused aid agencies of using food to turn Zimbabweans away from ZANU-PF.

Critics like Pius Neube, former Catholic Archbishop of Bulawayo, have accused the government of distributing food only in areas where people would agree to vote for ZANU-PF. During past elections, civil rights groups and the opposition have reported instances of ZANU-PF holding campaign rallies in conjunction with government food distributions. In some areas, government officials distributing food required those in line to show a party card—and MDC supporters were reportedly turned away. Two 2005 court rulings supported these claims, finding that ZANU-PF candidates politicized food distribution and used violence against the opposition.\footnote{Reference to the rulings, made by Judges Nicholas Ndou and Rita Makarau, can be found in DOS, “Zimbabwe,” \textit{Country Reports on Human Rights Practices} 2005.}
HIV/AIDS

In the midst of its political and economic crisis, Zimbabwe is being ravaged by HIV/AIDS. The country’s HIV prevalence rate is the world’s fifth highest. The United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) estimates that almost one quarter of Zimbabwe’s children are orphans (primarily attributable to AIDS), the highest percentage globally.\textsuperscript{99} The epidemic is also causing a severe strain on the country’s healthcare system; reports suggest that 75% of hospital admissions in recent years have been AIDS-related, leaving few beds or resources for other patients. To compound this problem, the economic crisis has resulted in the exodus of many of the country’s medical professionals. Of those who remain, many are infected with HIV themselves, leaving Zimbabwe to rely upon assistance from others. The AIDS epidemic is having a crippling effect on the economy- the inability of infected agricultural workers to adequately contribute to food production further hamstrings the struggling industry.

Although its infection rate remains high, Zimbabwe is the only country in Sub-Saharan Africa in which HIV prevalence and incidence rates have declined. While reports suggest evidence of changes in sexual behavior,\textsuperscript{100} the country’s high mortality rates also play a role in the decreased prevalence rate.\textsuperscript{101} Zimbabwe’s government claims significant resolve to fight the disease. The country was the first to introduce a tax to finance HIV/AIDS programs (3% on taxable income). President Mugabe announced in Zimbabwe’s commitment to universal access to antiretroviral therapy (ART) by 2010. Despite this commitment, access to ART is relatively low, with only 40,000 of 1.3 million HIV positive Zimbabweans on ART in 2008.\textsuperscript{102}

For those who are able to access treatment, the country’s economic crisis is limiting its impact. Patients taking ART must maintain healthy diets for the treatment to be effective, but with malnutrition rates high, few are able to benefit. Murambatsvina reportedly displaced an estimated 80,000 infected with HIV/AIDS, leaving many not only food insecure but also without access to ART. Experts suggest disruptions in ART may lead to increased resistance in HIV-positive patients to the most common medication, Nevirapine.\textsuperscript{103} The displacement and separation of families may also lead to an increase in unsafe sexual behavior, which could reverse the country’s decreasing prevalence rate.

Cholera and the Healthcare System Collapse

As of mid-March 2009, over 90,000 suspected cases of cholera, including over 4,000 deaths, have been reported, according to the U.N. World Health Organization (WHO). Neighboring countries have reported confirmed cases in border areas. Cholera, an acute diarrhoeal infection, is spread by contaminated food and water. In Zimbabwe, the reported case fatality rate (CFR) reached almost 6% at its peak in January 2009, much higher than the normal 1% CFR rate for cholera cases.

\textsuperscript{100} UNAIDS, AIDS Epidemic Update, December 2007.
\textsuperscript{102} ART figures are available at the website of the President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR), http://www.pepfar.gov.
\textsuperscript{103} Tibaijuka, 40.
globally. The country’s CFR decreased to 2.3% in March, and health officials report that the number of cases reported weekly, while still high, is dropping.104

Many health experts attribute the severity of Zimbabwe’s cholera outbreak to the collapse of the country’s healthcare, water, and sanitation systems.105 According to reports, water treatment and delivery have dramatically declined in recent years, and the decline of many other basic social services, such as trash collection, pose significant health risks. Public healthcare providers often lack basic medications, supplies and functioning medical equipment. Many health workers have migrated to neighboring countries in search of work, and the cost of transport to and from work for those who remain reportedly outweighs the salary they might receive. Many public hospitals and clinics have closed due to understaffing.

The Economy

The turmoil in Zimbabwe has led to a severe economic contraction, a sharp drop in living standards for the rural and urban poor, and a massive exodus of Zimbabweans in search of work. According to the Solidarity Peace Trust, founded by clergy from Zimbabwe and South Africa, well over three million Zimbabweans were living outside the country by 2004. The Trust calculated that this amounted to 25%-30% of the total population, or 60%-70% of productive adults.106 Given the continued economic decline in recent years, many more are believed to have fled Zimbabwe since then. Those who leave the country because of economic hardship often face difficult conditions in their host country. Many of those who remain behind now reportedly rely on remittances from family abroad.

The IMF and the World Bank

Dubbed “the world’s fastest shrinking economy,” Zimbabwe’s Gross Domestic Product (GDP) has declined over 50% since 1998.107 World Bank and International Monetary Fund (IMF) lending has been suspended since 2000 due to nonpayment of arrears, and foreign currency for essential imports, particularly fuel, is in short supply. Zimbabweans have faced steep rises in the prices of food and non-food items in recent years. The IMF suggests that the inflation rate will not reverse without significant changes in government spending, although the coalition government’s “dollarization” of the economy appears to have stabilized prices.108

In December 2003, Mugabe selected Gideon Gono, credited with turning around a troubled commercial bank, as governor of the Reserve Bank of Zimbabwe (RBZ). The move was welcomed by some, since Gono was regarded as a successful technocrat. However, critics maintain that his measures to fight corruption and discover illegally held foreign exchange have

105 See, for example, Physicians for Human Rights, Health in Ruins: A Man-Made Disaster in Zimbabwe, January, 2009.
been used to damage government opponents and further the interests of ZANU-PF. Regardless of Gono’s efforts, international assessments of Zimbabwe’s economic prospects remain bleak in the near term. Ignoring the advice of the IMF, the government refused to devalue the official exchange rate. Instead, in June 2006, Gono devalued the country’s currency, the Zimbabwe dollar, removing three zeros in an effort to mitigate inflation.

Under “Operation Sunrise,” the government printed new “rebased” currency, known as “little heroes,” in an effort to combat corruption and money laundering, according to the government. Zimbabweans were given only 21 days to exchange their old currency. Individuals were restricted from exchanging more than Z$100 million (USD$1000) of the old notes without clearance from tax authorities (companies were allowed to exchange Z$5 billion). Police arrested more than 3,000 at roadblocks for holding currency over the individual limit and seized a reported $40 million. Analysts suggest the devaluation did little to reverse the foreign exchange rate shortages. More recently, in February 2009, Gono devalued the currency again, removing 12 zeros from the Zimbabwe dollar.

In late September 2008, Zimbabwe began officially trading in foreign currency in an attempt to lower prices, and in February 2009, under the direction of new Finance Minister Tendai Biti of the MDC, the government began issuing government salaries in vouchers good for $100 U.S. dollars. Biti and Prime Minister Tsvangirai have pledged to pay salaries in foreign currency in an effort to get Zimbabweans to return to work. Zimbabwe government officials warn that without a significant influx of foreign currency, they may be unable to maintain these salaries.

Zimbabwe is currently restricted from borrowing from the IMF, to which the country still owes $89 million. The government paid $120 million in 2005 and $9 million in 2006 to settle other outstanding arrears with the Fund and to avoid compulsory withdrawal from the IMF. The source of the funds used to pay the IMF debt has been a source of considerable speculation in the media. Mugabe has dubbed the IMF a “political instrument” and “monster” for regime change. Zimbabwe also owes an estimated $600 million to the World Bank and $460 million to the African Development Bank. In response to the September 2008 power sharing agreement, the IMF’s Managing Director encouraged the Zimbabwe government discuss policy reforms with the Fund and to “take steps to show clear commitment to a new policy direction.” Following a consultation visit to the country in March 2009, the IMF noted positive steps toward fiscal discipline and offered to provide further policy advice, but warned that IMF funding would not be renewed until Zimbabwe begins to repay its debts and establishes “a track record of sound policy implementation [and] donor support.”

110 “Country is Committed to Uprooting All Forms of Corruption,” The Herald, August 24, 2006.
Attempts to Revive Agriculture Industry

In addition to the ZANU-PF government’s attempts to revive its flagging agriculture industry through the introduction of a command agriculture system (see “Zimbabwe’s Food Crisis” section, above), the Mugabe administration introduced long-term leases to provide security of tenure for farmers willing to cultivate land nationalized in the 2005 constitutional amendment. One of the unintended side effects of Mugabe’s 2000 land reform strategy, which resulted in the abolition of land tenure, was that farmers were unable to use their land as collateral to obtain bank loans to invest in their farms. As a result, few commercial farmers were able to find the capital to maintain productivity. The government began to distribute 99-year leases in November 2006, and among the initial recipients were 19 white farmers, which came as a shock to many after Mugabe declared in July 2005 that his land reform program would be complete only when there was “not a single white on the farms.” Some suggest financial institutions have been reluctant to accept the new leases as collateral, given that the government reserves the right to cancel the lease if it deems the farm unproductive. There are reportedly less than 400 white farmers left in Zimbabwe, and recent evictions in early 2009 have further reduced their number.

The government announced in 2007 its intention to ration electricity to households across the country in order to divert its dwindling supplies for irrigation of Zimbabwe’s winter wheat crop. More recent electricity shortages, caused by supply cuts from Mozambique, South Africa, and Zambia, have compounded Zimbabwe’s economic woes, cutting the production capacity of the manufacturing and mining sectors by as much 50%, according to some reports. The new MDC Minister for Energy and Power Development asserts that country’s power infrastructure is in disrepair and up to $1 billion will be needed to fix the crumbling energy sector.

The Mining Industry

While the country’s agriculture industry founders, its mining industry has continued to bring much-needed income into Zimbabwe. Mining accounts for almost half of Zimbabwe’s total foreign currency revenues. Zimbabwe has the world’s second largest reserves of platinum, behind South Africa. In early 2006, the government announced plans to take a 51% share of all foreign-owned mines for local black investors; 25% of that share would be acquired at no cost to the government, and mines that refused to part with their shares would be expropriated. After industry officials cautioned that the plan would deter foreign investment, the proposal was modified, allowing firms that invested in community projects to keep their majority share. They voted to approve similar plans to take a majority share in all foreign-owned businesses in September 2007; the legislation became law in March 2008. The government has insisted that it will not expropriate foreign-owned companies and that the law will not be applied to every company, but rather “on the basis of capital (investment) and employment levels.” Critics argue the law further deters much-needed foreign investment.

118 “Zimbabwe to Ration Electricity for Homes to Four Hours a Day,” Reuters, May 10, 2007.
121 The largest mining operations in the country are controlled by Impala Platinum and Anglo Platinum, respectively. These South African-owned companies are the world’s largest platinum producers.
The new coalition government has begun to take measures to encourage investment in the mining sector. Under the previous administration, gold miners were required to sell their product to the Reserve Bank of Zimbabwe. As the Bank’s foreign currency reserves dwindled, it reportedly ceased to pay miners for the gold, and many of the country’s gold mines closed. With current gold prices strong, the new government is now allowing the mines to market their own gold and accept payment in foreign currency. It has also cut the tax on gold export revenues.

Illegal Mining

The Zimbabwe government has taken steps to crackdown on illegal mining, although some suggest that members of ZANU-PF may be complicit. Police arrested an estimated 20,000 illegal miners in late 2006, including several hundred reportedly legal small-scale miners, confiscating gold, diamonds, emeralds, and gold ore. Since the collapse of the formal economy, many of the country’s unemployed have resorted to illegal mining, selling their goods on the black market. According to reports, most of the miners were released after paying fines.

“Blood Diamonds”?

The World Diamond Council (WDC), a diamond industry organization that aims to prevent the trade of conflict diamonds, raised concerns in December 2008 that rough diamonds from Zimbabwe were being exported illegally, rather than through the Kimberly Process (KP), an international government certification scheme designed to prevent the “blood diamond” trade. According to civil society reports, Zimbabwean soldiers in the Marange diamond fields are forcing villagers to labor in the mines and then smuggling the stones from the country. Rough stones from Zimbabwe have reportedly been confiscated in India and Dubai. The European Union pressed for an investigation into Zimbabwe’s compliance with its Kimberly obligations in early 2008, and a high level KP delegation visited Zimbabwe in March to express the group’s concern with reports of violence and smuggling from the Marange area. The KP Secretariat refrained from suspending Zimbabwe from the certification scheme, however. The Kimberly Process had previously investigated allegations that “blood diamonds” from the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) were being smuggled along with rough stones from Zimbabwe into South Africa for export. The Mugabe government dismissed those claims as a western attempt to promote regime change. Zimbabwe has been previously linked to conflict diamonds; senior officials were named in a 2003 U.N. report for profiting from illicit trade during Zimbabwe’s military operations in the DRC.

“Look East” Policy

Blaming the United States, the United Kingdom, and other western governments for the country’s economic crisis, President Mugabe has sought to engender investment and trade opportunities

---


125 Zimbabwe is a signatory of the Kimberly Process. For more information, see CRS Report RL30751, Diamonds and Conflict: Background, Policy, and Legislation, by Nicolas Cook.
with Asia, particularly China. Dubbed the “Look East” policy, Mugabe’s efforts have been criticized by his own party as insufficient to address the economy’s slide. In December 2006, the Parliamentary Portfolio Committee on Budget, Finance, and Economic Development, chaired by a ZANU-PF MP, accused the central bank governor of exacerbating inflation with “quasi-fiscal activities” and warned the administration that “the Far East destinations be viewed as a market in its infancy and that the traditional market of the West should not be neglected as the nation moves toward regularizing relations with the international community.”

The Military and the Economy

Critics contend that President Mugabe has bought the continued loyalty of the country’s security forces through patronage and bribery. Some observers suggest that loyalty of the security forces may have come at a heavy cost to the economy. In 2006, the government reportedly spent more than $20 million to purchase new cars for police, military and intelligence officers. The security forces and civil service also reportedly received an almost 300% pay raise to counter record desertion rates. Observers continue to speculate on how the government has paid for its military purchases from China, including a reported $240 million in fighter jets.

In addition to allegations of land and housing handouts to security personnel, critics of the government highlight a significant number of current and former military officers who have been appointed to civilian government positions. Mugabe previously placed current or former military officers in control of the Ministries of Energy and Industry, the Zimbabwe Revenue Authority (in charge of tax collection), the electoral commission, the state railway, the Grain Marketing Board, and the parks authority, and several have served in the Senate and ambassdorial posts abroad. Several of these individuals still hold these offices.

As the economy continues to collapse, there have been signs that the government may be running out of funds to maintain its security forces. During a parliamentary hearing in mid-2007, the Defense Secretary reportedly suggested that soldiers were dissatisfied with their low salaries and that the forces were running out of food and might have to suspend training if new funds were not released. Later that month, Zimbabwean intelligence officials reportedly uncovered a coup plot led by several senior military officials. Unconfirmed reports suggest that as many as 400 members of the army, air force, and police may have been involved in the plan, which allegedly aimed to remove Mugabe and to install Emmerson Mngangwa as president. Mngangwa, who has reportedly long sought to succeed Mugabe, denied any knowledge of the plot. Other sources suggest Vice President Joice Mujuru and her husband were behind the coup attempt and used Mngangwa’s name to discredit him. Neither Mngangwa nor the Mujurus were officially accused of involvement, although some reports suggest Solomon Mujuru may have been placed under house arrest for a limited time. More recently, in November 2008, soldiers looted Harare stores after they were unable to access their paychecks.

---


**International Perspectives**

The international community has been divided on how to respond to Zimbabwe’s persistent crises. In general, Western nations and institutions have expressed opposition to Robert Mugabe’s methods of rule, and have pursued policies intended to pressure the Zimbabwe government for reforms. In contrast, Mugabe has enjoyed some sympathy in Africa, where he is viewed as an elder statesman and a leader of the anti-colonial struggle, and among the Non-Aligned nations generally. This has changed to an extent in recent years, however, with some African leaders concluding that the Zimbabwe situation is damaging to Africa’s interests and that political and economic reforms are needed. Nevertheless, African countries supported Zimbabwe in its successful bid to chair the United Nations Commission on Sustainable Development in 2007, allegedly to show African solidarity against Western opposition. AU member states were unable to come to a conclusion on how to address Zimbabwe’s political situation at the 2008 AU Summit in Egypt, despite election observer reports from the AU, SADC, and the Pan-African Parliament finding that the June runoff was not free or fair.

The international donor community has generally expressed support for the new coalition government, but has predicated significant assistance on improvement in the following areas:

- the immediate release of all political prisoners;
- the end of farm disruptions;
- the cessation of politically motivated violence;
- the establishment of a credible and transparent Reserve Bank team;
- an end to harassment and intimidation of the media;
- and a commitment of all stakeholders to holding credible elections in a timely manner.130

**U.S. Policy**

The United States has been critical of the ZANU-PF government for its poor human rights record and lack of respect for the rule of law. Key elements of U.S. policy toward Zimbabwe have included targeted sanctions against high-ranking ZANU-PF members and their affiliates, support for South Africa to spearhead an African effort to restore democracy, and assistance intended to help the country’s poor and strengthen civil society. Former Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice told the Senate Foreign Relations Committee during her 2005 confirmation hearing, that Zimbabwe was one of six “outposts of tyranny” worldwide and that the United States stood with the oppressed people there.131 These remarks provoked an angry personal response from Mugabe.132 Another State Department official was similarly critical, suggesting that Zimbabwe
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130 Remarks by the German Ambassador to Zimbabwe, Dr. Albrecht Conze, as referenced in “Getting Zimbabwe to Work Again,” *The Zimbabwe Times*, March 29, 2009.
131 “Rice Targets 6 ‘Outposts of Tyranny,’” *Washington Times*, January 19, 2005. The others were Cuba, Burma, North Korea, Iran, and Belarus.
“has now become a textbook case of bad and illegitimate government.”133 Prior to the formation of the unity government, the new Secretary of State, Hillary Rodham Clinton, told the Senate in January 2009 that “the suffering inflicted on the Zimbabwean people by the illegitimate government of Robert Mugabe is appalling.” Under her leadership, the State Department has cautiously welcomed the new government but warned, “we will not consider providing additional development assistance or even easing sanctions until we see effective governance.”134

Sanctions

The Mugabe administration has routinely blamed its economic crisis on sanctions from the west. The United States does not currently have trade sanctions against Zimbabwe, with the exception of a ban on transfers of defense items and services to the country. The U.S. government has, however, frozen all non-humanitarian government-to-government aid.135 Zimbabwe is not eligible for trade benefits under the African Growth and Opportunity Act (AGOA) because and its poor record of economic management and human rights abuses.

The White House has annually renewed U.S. sanctions against ZANU-PF leaders. The sanctions are intended to punish those responsible for Zimbabwe’s difficulties without harming the Zimbabwe population at large. The initial sanctions, imposed in 2003, ban travel to the United States by “senior members of the government of Robert Mugabe and others ... who formulate, implement, or benefit from policies that undermine or injure Zimbabwe’s democratic institutions or impede the transition to a multi-party democracy.” Persons who benefit financially from business dealings with such individuals are also banned, as are the spouses of people in either group. In 2003, President Bush issued an executive order freezing assets held in the United States by 75 high-ranking Zimbabwe officials and Mugabe’s wife, Grace.136 Nine firms and farms were added in 2004, and the list was further expanded in November 2005 to block the assets of 128 individuals and 33 entities. The executive order also allows the Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to go beyond previous authority and block the property of additional persons who “have engaged in actions or policies to undermine Zimbabwe’s democratic processes or institutions,” their immediate family members, and any persons assisting them.137 President Bush added additional names to the list in December 2007 and November 2008. President Obama renewed the sanctions in March 2009.

Congressional Response

Congress made clear its opposition to Robert Mugabe’s policies in the Zimbabwe Democracy and Economic Recovery Act of 2001 (P.L. 107-99), which criticized “economic mismanagement” and

135 In 2006, Zimbabwe was found to be in violation of crimes related to human trafficking and was subject to sanction under the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-386) for FY2007. In 2007, the State Department found that Zimbabwe was “making significant efforts” to combat trafficking, and Zimbabwe was moved from a “Tier 3” to a “Tier 2” designation. The “Tier 2” designation remained in 2008. A Tier 2 rating means that the government still does not meet the minimum standards for the elimination of trafficking, but is making significant efforts to do so. For more information, see U.S. Department of State, Trafficking in Persons Report, available at http://www.state.gov/g/tip.
136 Seventy-seven individuals were named in the executive order (EO 13288), but one of these had died.
137 The text of this annex to EO 13288 can be found at http://www.whitehouse.gov.
“undemocratic practices” in Zimbabwe. This legislation called for consultations with allies on economic sanctions and a travel ban. In the 109th Congress, the U.S. House of Representatives passed H.Res. 409 in December 2005, condemning Operation Murambatsvina, which the resolution termed a “humanitarian disaster that has compounded the country’s humanitarian food and economic crises.” The resolution also called on the U.N. and African regional bodies to investigate the impact of the demolitions and requested that the Administration use its influence to advocate further action by the IMF against the Zimbabwean government. Senator Russ Feingold (D-WI) introduced S.Amdt. 1254, which was included in the final version of the FY2006 foreign operations appropriations bill (P.L. 109-102). This amendment provided $4 million for democracy and governance activities in Zimbabwe. The Senate Foreign Relations Subcommittee on African Affairs held a hearing on Zimbabwe’s political and economic crisis in June 2001. The Senate Foreign Relations Committee held its most recent hearing on Zimbabwe in July 2008. The House Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on Africa has likewise held hearings on challenges to democracy in Zimbabwe: in June 2000, February 2002, and April 2005.

The 110th Congress was active on Zimbabwe. On April 17, 2007, the House of Representatives passed H.Con.Res. 100, sponsored by Representative Tom Lantos, condemning the Zimbabwean government’s recent actions against opposition and civil society activists. In June 2007, the Senate passed parallel legislation, S.Con.Res. 25, introduced by then-Senator Barack Obama. Former Senator Hillary Rodham Clinton introduced S. 1500, the Support for Democracy and Human Rights in Zimbabwe Act of 2007, which would have authorized up to $10 million to support democracy and human rights programs in the country.

Several Members of Congress issued statements highly critical of the Mugabe Administration surrounding the 2008 elections and the ongoing political violence. Some wrote letters to Bush Administration officials or African leaders. On April 25, the Senate passed S.Res. 533, introduced by Senator John Kerry, calling for the immediate release of the presidential results, an end to the political violence and intimidation, and a peaceful transition to democratic rule. The resolution also supported calls for an international arms embargo and other targeted sanctions against the Mugabe regime, and encouraged the creation of a comprehensive political and economic recovery package in the event a democratic government is installed. The House passed H.Res. 1230, sponsored by Representative Donald Payne and all the House Members of the Congressional Black Caucus, among others, condemning the violence and calling for a peaceful resolution to the political crisis. H.Res. 1270, sponsored by Representative Ileana Ros-Lehtinen, was also passed, calling for an international arms embargo, urging the United Nations to deploy a special envoy to Zimbabwe and encouraging the parties to discuss the creation of a government of national unity. Prior to the June runoff, Representative Adam Schiff introduced legislation calling on the Zimbabwe government to postpone the election. Representative Tom Tancredo also introduced legislation, H.Con.Res. 387, calling for the United States to sever diplomatic ties with Zimbabwe. In the 111th Congress, Representative Ros-Lehtinen has introduced H.Res. 238, declaring the economic and humanitarian crisis in Zimbabwe to be a threat to international security.

**U.S. Support for African Diplomacy**

During President Bush’s visit to South Africa in 2003, he praised the work of Thabo Mbeki as the “point man” in seeking a Zimbabwe solution. The statement suggested to some that the United States was stepping back from a lead role on the Zimbabwe issue and would accede to Mbeki’s “quiet diplomacy” (see “South Africa” section, below) as the best means of achieving reform in
Zimbabwe. Mbeki reportedly assured President Bush at that time that he would be able to bring about talks between ZANU-PF and the MDC, which did not occur until 2007. In 2004, former U.S. Assistant Secretary of State for Africa Jendayi Frazer, who was Ambassador to South Africa at the time, called for the formation of a “coalition of the willing” to deal with Zimbabwe. Frazer reiterated South Africa’s position of leverage, and insisted more needed to be done by African states to return Zimbabwe to democracy.

U.S. Assistance

The United States remains the leader in humanitarian relief aid to the Zimbabwean people, supplying over $1 billion in assistance since 2002. That includes over $260 million in food aid and disaster assistance since October 2007. The U.S. government has provided over $7.3 million in FY2009 specifically to address the cholera outbreak. In addition to disaster aid, the United States provided $23.2 million in bilateral assistance for Zimbabwe in FY2007 and an estimated $22.9 million in FY2008. The State Department requested $45.4 million for FY2009. The Department’s FY2008 Congressional Budget Justification (CBJ) noted that supplemental assistance would be requested if elections were held that resulted in the election of a “reform-minded” government. Similar language was used in the FY2009 request, which states,

If elections do not occur in 2008, or if elections continue the status quo, FY 2009 programming... will be reassessed to determine the most promising course to assist the democratic opposition to survive and to deepen its voice, thereby maintaining pressure on the GOZ to reform. Additionally, under a no-change scenario, the United States will promote a continuing dialogue with domestic and regional audiences on economic mismanagement, political manipulation, and human rights abuses.

The Obama Administration has welcomed the establishment of the new coalition government, but has indicated that non-humanitarian assistance to the government would be predicated on progress toward political and economic reforms. Zimbabwe is not among the countries eligible to participate in the Millennium Challenge Account program, nor is it a focus country for the President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief.

USAID has supported local democracy advocates in Zimbabwe through a variety of programs aimed at ensuring media freedom and strengthening civil society and the legislative process. USAID partners were reportedly instrumental in documenting the demolitions and human rights violations during Operation Murambatsvina and assisting in relief efforts. Legal restrictions continue to limit the ability of journalists and independent newspapers to provide alternative source for news, and the Zimbabwean government controls all domestic radio and television broadcasting stations. USAID provides funding for Voice of America to broadcast Studio 7, a daily program on shortwave and AM radio that USAID describes as “the principal source of independent electronic media in the country.” Studio 7, along with UK-based Shortwave (SW) Radio Africa and the Dutch-funded Voice of the People (VOP) have had their broadcasts periodically interrupted by the ZANU-PF government using Chinese jamming equipment.

140 Department of State, FY2008 Foreign Operations Congressional Budget Justification.
The U.S. State Department warns that travelers suspected of having a “bias” against the government may be refused entry to Zimbabwe.\footnote{DOS’s \textit{Consular Information Sheet} for Zimbabwe is available at http://travel.state.gov/.} In 2006, a delegation of the U.S. Coalition of Black Trade Unionists (CBTU), led by AFL-CIO Vice President William Lucy, was expelled from the country. Then-U.S. Ambassador Christopher Dell said,

Clearly, the Zimbabwe government’s decision not to honor the delegation’s visas is the result of the events of 13 September, when security forces brutally suppressed planned peaceful demonstrations by the Zimbabwe Congress of Trade Unions,... This transparent attempt to deflect international attention from the vicious beatings is itself an example of the Zimbabwean government’s repression and of its fear of the truth.... There is increasing acknowledgment that a man who was regarded as a liberator of his people is an oppressor.\footnote{“EU Demands Probe into Congress of Trade Union Attacks,” \textit{Zimbabwe Standard}, September 24, 2006.}

\section*{Other International Perspectives}

\subsection*{United Kingdom}

In 2002, in conjunction with the United States and the European Union, the British Parliament imposed targeted sanctions on leading members and affiliates of the ZANU-PF regime, as well an arms embargo and an asset freeze. The UK has imposed travel bans on over 100 members of the ZANU-PF and close affiliates of the party. Britain continues to provide humanitarian aid in Zimbabwe. Concurrently, the UK has maintained its willingness to release funds to Zimbabwe to pay for parts of an orderly land redistribution program if Mugabe retires and the rule of law is returned. With Mugabe remaining in office under the September 2008 power sharing agreement, it is unclear whether Britain will concede to release such funds. Mugabe was extremely hostile toward former British Prime Minister Tony Blair, a persistent critic. Speaking at his 81\textsuperscript{st} birthday celebration, Mugabe said the upcoming election would “kill once and for all the machinations of that man in Number 10 Downing Street, who for some reason thinks he has the divine power to rule Zimbabwe and Britain.... On March 31, we must dig a grave not just six feet but 12 feet and bury Mr. Blair and the Union Jack.”\footnote{“Zimbabwe’s Mugabe Marks 81\textsuperscript{st} Birthday With Attack on Opposition, Blair,” \textit{AFP}, February 26, 2005.} Current Prime Minister Gordon Brown has maintained his predecessor’s position, boycotting the December 2007 EU-Africa Summit to protest Mugabe’s attendance. In an April 2008 speech to the House of Commons, British Prime Minister Gordon Brown called for an international arms embargo against Zimbabwe, accusing the government of rigging the March elections and calling the political situation “completely unacceptable.” Britain’s Queen Elizabeth stripped Mugabe of an honorable Knighthood he received in 1994.

\subsection*{European Union}

The European Union was among the first to take action against Mugabe’s government. The EU imposed targeted sanctions on 19 members of Zimbabwe’s elite and their spouses after pulling the EU election observer team out of Zimbabwe in February 2002. These “light” sanctions were upgraded by the EU to target 35 Zimbabwean leaders, and have been renewed yearly, with new names added in January 2009. Current EU sanctions include a travel ban on over 160 members and beneficiaries of the ZANU-PF, an arms embargo, and an asset freeze. Mugabe defied the
travel ban in 2005 to attend the funeral of Pope John Paul II. The EU continues to provide humanitarian assistance to benefit Zimbabwe’s poor.

Commonwealth

The Commonwealth of Nations sent a team of observers to the March 2002 presidential election in Zimbabwe, and the group found “that the conditions in Zimbabwe did not adequately allow for the free expression of the will of the electors.” Consequently, a special committee appointed to monitor and respond to the vote, consisting of Australia, South Africa and Nigeria, determined that Zimbabwe would be suspended from the Commonwealth for one year. The suspension was the first public action against Mugabe by a body that included influential African countries. In December 2003, the Commonwealth, including 19 other African members, voted to suspend Zimbabwe indefinitely. On this occasion, the decision was strongly criticized by South Africa’s President Mbeki, who had by then committed to his policy of quiet diplomacy, and by other governments in southern Africa. Mugabe responded by withdrawing Zimbabwe from the Commonwealth and ruling out any further discussions or a possible return. Some speculated, as a result, that the Commonwealth’s action had backfired by placing Zimbabwe fully outside the bounds of its influence. Others argued that indefinite suspension by a body including many African members had important symbolic value in Africa and worldwide.

China and Iran

While many western governments moved to isolate the ZANU-PF government in the last decade, China and Iran strengthened ties and deepened their involvement in Zimbabwe’s economy. China, which became active on the continent in the 1950s and 1960s to gain global influence, now looks to Africa for natural resources to meet the needs of its growing population. A longtime ally of ZANU-PF, which it backed during the liberation struggle, China is reported to be Zimbabwe’s second largest trading partner and its largest investor. Many observers see Zimbabwe’s platinum concessions as a major draw for Beijing, and Chinese firms are playing roles in the cellphone industry, as well as in television, radio, and power generation. China holds controlling interest in the country’s only electricity generator.

Some critics worry China’s investment in Zimbabwe comes without the “strings attached” that Western governments might require, such as commitments to human rights, accountability, and anti-corruption. Arms agreements between China and Zimbabwe have attracted considerable attention in recent years, as most Western governments continue to enforce an arms embargo against the country. Zimbabwe’s $240 million purchase of twelve Chinese fighter jets has drawn questions from analysts as to why a country that faces no immediate external threat from its neighbors would need such an air force. Reports indicate that Zimbabwe also ordered riot gear, water cannons, armored vehicles, and AK-47 rifles from China. How impoverished Zimbabwe could pay for arms from China is a subject of much speculation; Defense Ministry officials have

147 Defense analysts describe the K-8 as a trainer jet with light ground attack capabilities. Zambia and Namibia have likewise purchased K-8s from China.
admitted to being in arrears for the 2005 arms purchases. Some observers suspect that the acquisitions are covered in some way by China’s growing economic role in Zimbabwe.\textsuperscript{148}

In the face of Western condemnation and isolation, Zimbabwe also found an ally in Iran. During a 2006 visit to Tehran, President Mugabe reportedly secured commitments from Iran for direct aid and Iranian assistance to its energy, agriculture, and mining industries. Reports indicate that Iran or one of the Gulf countries may also provide technical assistance to Zimbabwe to revive the country’s only oil refinery, built 40 years ago to process Iranian crude. Most of Zimbabwe’s fuel comes by road from South Africa; the country has insufficient foreign currency to import fuel in bulk through a pipeline from the nearest port, Beira, Mozambique to Zimbabwe.

In spite of Mugabe’s assurances of Iranian assistance, some observers suggest Iran may not have met his expectations. Despite an agreement signed by the two countries in 2005, little financial assistance appears to have been provided. As one economic advisor points out, “At the end of the day, whether its China or Iran, investors want one thing: a return on their investment and they do not seem to believe they can get that return (from Zimbabwe).”\textsuperscript{149} Likewise, Mugabe, traveling to Beijing in 2005 to request assistance to deal with the country’s foreign exchange shortfall and fuel shortage, received only $6 million for grain imports reportedly because Zimbabwe was deemed unworthy of significant investment.\textsuperscript{150} Although Mugabe did secure a $200 million buyer credit loan from China to promote agricultural production (reportedly the largest loan to Zimbabwe since western donors ceased lending), China dismissed reports that the countries were negotiating a much larger $2 billion loan to revive the country’s flagging economy.\textsuperscript{151}

In addition to investment and economic assistance, Zimbabwe’s Asian partners have offered diplomatic support. A Chinese official visiting in 2004 said that his government “appreciates the reasons for the land issue” and was opposed to any interference by foreign governments.\textsuperscript{152} China played a lead role in trying to quiet U.N. efforts to condemn Zimbabwe for Murambatsvina, and has vetoed proposed sanctions against the Mugabe Administration by the Security Council. Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad expressed support during Mugabe’s visit, saying “We believe Zimbabweans have every right to defend their sovereignty and land. We are happy that Zimbabwe has once again taken control over its resources and we support the land redistribution programme ... We strongly condemn the bullying tactics of a number of (Western) governments against Zimbabwe.”\textsuperscript{153}

\section*{Nigeria}

Although an observer team from Nigeria endorsed the 2002 presidential election in Zimbabwe, Nigeria’s former president, Olusegun Obasanjo, attempted to mediate the country’s crisis. He was reportedly concerned about the consequences of the Zimbabwe situation for the credibility of the
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New Partnership for Africa’s Development (NEPAD). NEPAD is an initiative aimed at demonstrating Africa’s capabilities for resolving its own problems in exchange for increased aid, trade, and investment. Obasanjo supported Zimbabwe’s suspension from the Commonwealth, and in 2004, he held a long discussion with Tsvangirai and an MDC delegation in the Nigerian capital. The Nigerian leader then took the Zimbabwe visitors on a personal tour of his farm—an unusual privilege. After the 2005 elections, Obasanjo met again with Tsvangirai, and the government-owned Herald newspaper accused the Nigerian president of funding the MDC. The country’s new president, Umaru Yar’Adua, expressed his own concern with the situation in Zimbabwe, telling journalists at a German-African summit in October 2007 that developments in the country were “not in conformity with the rule of law.”

South Africa

Former President Thabo Mbeki’s “quiet diplomacy” toward Zimbabwe drew criticism from some for its slow pace, although both sides credit Mbeki with playing a critical role in the September 2008 power sharing agreement. Some analysts point out that his reluctance to openly confront or condemn President Mugabe is understandable on a number of grounds. Mugabe lent aid and shelter to the African National Congress (ANC), now the ruling party in South Africa, during its long struggle against white minority rule, creating a bond of gratitude. Mugabe has enjoyed considerable popularity around Africa and in South Africa itself, not least because of his moves to seize lands owned by comparatively wealthy white farmers.

Nonetheless, many have been dissatisfied that South Africa, which is immensely more powerful than neighboring Zimbabwe, and which has extensive control over Zimbabwe’s transport links to the outside world, as well as over its electricity supplies, has not been able to improve the Zimbabwe situation more quickly. As Zimbabwe’s largest trading partner, many consider South Africa in a position to exert substantial leverage. At the same time, South Africa must weigh the unintended effects of such leverage—state collapse across its northern border could produce a sharp increase in illegal migration and have a substantial impact on South Africa. Some estimate that over three million Zimbabweans have fled into the country. In May 2008, Zimbabwean and other African immigrants became targets of xenophobic violence throughout South Africa. At least 60 were killed.

Through his policy of engagement, President Mbeki repeatedly brought the Zimbabwean government and the MDC together to discuss Zimbabwe’s future. Mbeki’s offer of economic incentives and an exit strategy for Mugabe in exchange for negotiations with the opposition and a commitment to free and fair elections have been unsuccessful until recently. In 2005, as the IMF threatened to expel Zimbabwe from the Fund for debt payment arrears, the country requested a loan from South Africa for fuel, food, and electricity, as well as to address the IMF payments. Amid rumors that the South African government would make any loan conditional on economic and political reforms, the negotiations stalled and Mugabe found another source from which to

reap the IMF dues.\textsuperscript{159} In early 2006 speech, Mugabe warned Mbeki that he should “keep away” from interference in Zimbabwe’s affairs.

Mbeki’s Zimbabwe policies drew criticism from within his country; former President Nelson Mandela, Nobel laureate Archbishop Desmond Tutu, former opposition leader Tony Leon, and even the ANC’s ally, the Congress of South African Trade Unions (COSATU), have been vocal detractors. COSATU, South Africa’s powerful labor confederation, strongly opposed the quiet diplomacy policy. A certain sympathy on the part of COSATU toward the MDC may be inevitable, since the MDC has its roots in the union movement. COSATU delegations have been forcibly expelled from Zimbabwe twice, first in 2004 and more recently in late 2006, when COSATU members traveled to Harare to express their support for the ZCTU after the incidents of police violence. One COSATU leader remarked, “we are not quiet diplomats,” and “we will not keep mum when freedom does not lead to respect for workers and human rights.”\textsuperscript{160} When the Mbeki government issued a terse initial statement following the March 2007 arrest of MDC and civil society activists, COSATU criticized the government for a “disgraceful” response, “in the face of such massive attacks on democracy and human rights, especially coming from those who owed so much to international solidarity when South Africans were fighting for democracy and human rights against the apartheid regime.”\textsuperscript{161}

Defenders of Mbeki’s approach have argued that he is the only leader with the influence and prestige needed to sway Mugabe.\textsuperscript{162} Some observers expressed hope for Mbeki’s mediation role when the President and Morgan Tsvangirai met in October 2004, after Tsvangirai’s acquittal. Tsvangirai, who had been critical of quiet diplomacy in the past, said after the meeting that he welcomed President Mbeki’s efforts to mediate.\textsuperscript{163} But Mbeki stunned the MDC and many supporters of democracy in Zimbabwe in March 2005, when he told a press conference that he had “no reason to think that anyone in Zimbabwe will militate in a way so that the elections will not be free and fair.” He insisted that “there will be a free and fair election in Zimbabwe” and that “things like access to the public media, things like violence-free election have been addressed.”\textsuperscript{164} Earlier, he had termed Secretary Rice’s description of Zimbabwe as an outpost of tyranny as “an exaggeration.”\textsuperscript{165} These remarks left critics questioning the substance behind Mbeki’s diplomacy.

The future of South Africa’s policy toward Zimbabwe may be determined by Mbeki’s successor, should the power sharing agreement falter. Mbeki, who resigned in late September 2008, has been temporarily succeeded by ANC Deputy President Kgalema Motlanthe. Former Deputy President Jacob Zuma, among the possible candidates to represent the ANC in the next elections, was elected as president of the ANC in December 2007.\textsuperscript{166} Zuma has referred to the Zimbabwean president as “a monster,” and although he did not call for Mbeki to step down as mediator after the 2008 elections, he did encourage African leaders to “assist” Mbeki, “given the gravity of the
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situation." The Congress of the People (COPE) party, which emerged in late 2008 as a possible rival to the ANC’s electoral dominance in the 2009 elections, has encouraged strong action on Zimbabwe. Prior to the coalition government’s formation, COPE’s leadership took the position that Zimbabwe’s neighbors should withhold commodities to encourage reforms in the country.

The African Union

The African Union (AU) and its predecessor, the Organization of African Unity (OAU), have been supportive of Mugabe in the past. In 2002, an OAU observer team labeled Mugabe’s election victory legitimate, free, and fair. In 2004, when the AU allowed a report critical of the Mugabe government to be circulated at its annual summit, some believed the regional body might be indicating a change in its approach. The 114-page report, prepared by a delegation from the African Commission for Human and People’s Rights (ACHPR) that visited Zimbabwe in 2002, reportedly criticized the Zimbabwe government for police abuses, press censorship, and compromising the judiciary. The AU tabled the report at the summit, however, and declared it would keep its contents secret until Zimbabwe has had a chance to respond in detail. According to some media reports, the Zimbabwean government used procedural regulations and technicalities to prevent its release. The ACHPR passed a resolution in 2005 calling on the “government of Zimbabwe to respect the fundamental rights and freedoms of expression” and to allow a second fact-finding mission to enter the country. The ACHPR resolution was hailed by human rights advocates, who suggested, “This will exert a lot of pressure on Zimbabwe - this is the first time such a significant body, so close to African heads of state, observes and condemns such defiance of human rights compliance.” But like the previous report, the second mission’s findings were rejected by the AU’s Council of Ministers because of “irregularities and procedural flaws.”

Some observers and international human rights organizations such as the International Press Institute (IPI), suggest that the AU’s repeated rejection of ACHPR resolutions on Zimbabwe tarnished the integrity of the body. As one AU official warned, “If we continue to throw out every human rights report that comes before us, people out there will stop taking us seriously.” IPI also suggests that refusal of the AU to act on the ACHPR resolutions or to condemn human rights abuses in Zimbabwe damages the credibility of the African Peer Review Mechanism (APRM) initiative, a vital part of the New Partnership for Africa’s Development (NEPAD).

Should ZANU-PF fail to abide by the terms of the power sharing agreement, criticism from the AU may have little effect, unless it is accompanied by more substantial policy changes toward
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Mugabe’s administration. The government has routinely ignored its detractors and has frequently denied those who might be critical of the regime access to the country. In 2005, AU Commission Chairman Alpha Konare sent Tom Nyanduga, Special Rapporteur on Refugees, Internally Displaced Persons, and Asylum Seekers in Africa, as his envoy to investigate Operation Murambatsvina. The Zimbabwean government prevented Nyanduga from conducting his assessment and deported him, accusing the envoy of “western collusion and agenda adoption.” More recently, in November 2008, the government reportedly rejected the visa applications of several members of the Elders, a group of senior world leaders, including Kofi Annan and former U.S. President Jimmy Carter.

SADC

Many of the 14 members of the Southern African Development Community (SADC) are linked to Zimbabwe by a common historical experience, as well as cultural and economic ties, and the organization has been seen as disinclined to condemn the actions of President Mugabe’s government. At its August 2004 summit in Mauritius, SADC approved new electoral principles and guidelines for all its member nations. Analysts were hopeful that these rules might motivate meaningful democratic reforms in Zimbabwe, particularly since they laid out detailed guidelines for SADC observer missions. The signatory countries, including Zimbabwe, are pledged to allow SADC observers freedom of movement and access. As noted above, the SADC observer delegation’s favorable report for Zimbabwe’s 2005 elections was considered by critics of the Mugabe administration to be disappointing.

Although Mugabe’s neighboring leaders have not singled him out for criticism, with the exception of Botswana, they have been increasingly concerned with the impact of Zimbabwe’s crisis on their own countries. Southern African leaders blamed Zimbabwe and Swaziland for undermining economic growth in the region at a SADC Summit in Lesotho in 2006. Botswana has spoken out in the past on regional problems attributed to Mugabe’s policies, including the burden placed on the country by Zimbabwe’s refugees. In March 2007, following the arrest of Tsvangirai and other opposition members, Tanzanian President Jakaya Kikwete traveled to Harare to discuss the incident, and after the SADC summit, President Mbeki was nominated as mediator. SADC’s election observer mission to the June 2008 runoff found that the election “did not represent the will of the people of Zimbabwe,” and called for dialogue among all political stakeholders toward a negotiated solution. Botswana refused to recognize Mugabe as President after the June 2008 runoff.

Pressure from SADC does appear to have brought the Zimbabwe parties to join together in the new coalition government, but it remains unclear to what extent they might be willing to enforce the deal if the parties cannot work together. At a regional summit in late March 2009, SADC approved an $8 billion plan for Zimbabwe’s economic recovery, including $2 billion for short-term recovery projects. Member states are still determining what portion of that assistance they

might provide, but many expect the regional body to appeal to foreign donors for much of the total. South Africa will reportedly provide the Zimbabwe government with credit lines.

Prospects for the Future

Despite hopes that the new coalition government may bring change to Zimbabwe, life for many of the country’s people remains a daily struggle. The cost of living remains high, and access to foreign currency is extremely limited. In the near term, the country’s agriculture industry shows little sign of recovery. The issues of property rights and land reform will be among the most difficult for the government to address. Prospects for Zimbabwe’s youngest generation remain poor. Primary school attendance has reportedly dropped dramatically since 2000, and the cost of school fees has risen exponentially. The provision of salary vouchers has brought many teachers back to work, but enticing the thousands who have left the country to return will be a major challenge. Many families are unable to afford basic food items, not to mention medicines or doctors. Analysts have cited a number of reasons for Zimbabwe’s economic problems, including recurrent drought, difficulties encountered in implementing economic reforms, and industrial competition from comparatively cheap South African imports. At the same time, analysts place considerable responsibility for Zimbabwe’s problems on the policies adopted and actions taken by the government since 1997.

Zimbabwe is now at a critical juncture. Prior to the power sharing agreement, the government took some fiscal measures to reverse the economic downturn, but as hyperinflation continued to rise, they were largely ineffective. Although the MDC has taken control of the Ministry of Finance in the unity government, it remains unclear how effective the new Ministry’s economic policies will be in reversing the downturn if foreign donors continue to withhold assistance for its recovery plans, estimated to require $5-8 billion. Many donor governments and institutions are reluctant to release such funds until they can determine whether the coalition government’s establishment will result in changes to the policies which brought about sanctions in the first place. The MDC and ZANU-PF, longstanding political foes, must now demonstrate their willingness to work together to put the country’s economy on the path toward recovery.

---

Figure 1. Map of Zimbabwe
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